1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 SOPHON PHAN, 10 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-04-1177 GGH 11 vs. 12 JO ANNE B. BARNHART, ORDER Commissioner of 13 Social Security, Defendants. 14 15 Presently before the court is plaintiff's March 17, 2005 application for attorneys' 16 fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"). 17 **Background** 18 On September 29, 2004, this court filed a stipulated remand order entering 19 judgment, and remanding the case pursuant to Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). On the 20 same day, the court entered a final judgment in the case. On March17, 2005, plaintiff filed an 21 application for EAJA fees. The Commissioner has filed an opposition, claiming the application 22 is untimely. 23 Discussion 24 The filing period for EAJA fees in sentence four remand cases begins after the 25 final judgment is entered by the court and the appeal period has expired. Melkonyan v. Sullivan, 26

1	501 U.S. 89, 111 S.Ct. 2157, 2165 (1991). Plaintiff has thirty days from the time the judgment is
2	no longer appealable in which to file his or her application for EAJA fees. Shalala v. Schaefer,
3	509 U.S. 292, 113 S.Ct. 2625 (1993). If the application is not submitted within the time period
4	allowed, an award is barred. Scarborough v. Principi, 541 U.S. 401, 124 S. Ct. 1856, 1865
5	(2004).
6	In this case, the judgment became final and unappealable on November 29, 2004,
7	sixty days after judgment was entered. Plaintiff then had thirty days in which to file an
8	application, or by December 29, 2004. The application for EAJA fees was filed on March 17,
9	2005, more than two and a half months after the period for filing had expired.
10	Conclusion
11	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's March 17, 2005 application for
12	EAJA fees is denied.
13	DATED: 10/26/05
14	
15	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
16	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	GGH/076 Phan1177.eaja.wpd
18	Than 177/caja.wpa
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	